

# **Technical Note Responding to EPA Claims Regarding SCR NO<sub>x</sub> Performance Degradation**

by

Dr. Ranajit (Ron) Sahu, Consultant<sup>1</sup>

In this technical note, I respond to claims made by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in its proposed denial of a petition submitted by the State of Maryland pursuant to Section 126 of the Clean Air Act (126 Petition). Specifically, EPA claims that the analysis underpinning Maryland's 126 Petition is "technically deficient" because it presumes that coal-fired electric generating units (EGUs) equipped with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) control technology for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) would be incapable of meeting the levels of NO<sub>x</sub> reduction going forward that the units had actually achieved in the past. As explained below, because there is no technical impediment to regaining past levels of control through optimized handling and replacement of catalyst, and because EPA's approach to evaluating SCR performance capabilities suffers from a number of other significant methodological flaws, I disagree with EPA's conclusion.

## **Introduction to Selective Catalytic Reduction**

In coal-fired EGUs, NO<sub>x</sub> forms during the combustion process when nitrogen (present in ambient air used for combustion and also in coal) and oxygen present in the ambient air react at high temperatures in the boiler. While there are a number of in-boiler approaches to reducing NO<sub>x</sub> formation (including the use of low-NO<sub>x</sub> boilers, over-fire air, etc.), for the past several decades SCRs have been the state-of-the-art post-combustion control technology for reducing emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> at power plants.<sup>2</sup>

As the name implies, SCRs reduce NO<sub>x</sub> generated from the combustion of coal in the boiler to nitrogen by reacting the NO<sub>x</sub> present in the flue gases with a reducing agent, typically ammonia, in the presence of a specially formulated catalysts. Like all catalytic chemical reactions, this

---

<sup>1</sup> Resume provided in Attachment A.

<sup>2</sup> While SCR technology was patented in 1957, it was not widely used to control coal-fired EGU NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the United States until the 1990's.

reduction takes place within an optimum window of gas temperature. The catalyst is typically present in a series of layers (typically 2 layers with space for a third layer – the 2x1 configuration; or 3 layers with space for a fourth layer – the 3x1 configuration, etc.). Within each layer, the catalysts are placed in modules or blocks. The layers are arranged orthogonal to the direction of gas flow, over which the premixed ammonia/flue gas passes, effecting the desired NO<sub>x</sub> reduction reaction. While dependent on the inlet NO<sub>x</sub> levels in the flue gases to a certain extent, typical NO<sub>x</sub> reduction levels in an SCR are around 90% if not more – often limited by how much unreacted ammonia (the so-called ammonia slip) is permitted. In addition, there is a source for the reducing agent (i.e., ammonia, in the example) and a set of operating mixing nozzles where the ammonia is injected into the flue gases upstream of the catalyst layers – so that the flue gases containing the NO<sub>x</sub> from the boiler is well mixed with the reducing agent. Typically, flow distribution devices are also present upstream of the catalyst layers to ensure that the gases entering the SCR catalyst layers are well distributed spatially to the maximum extent possible. Finally, SCR systems are also equipped with devices such as screens etc. to ensure that carry-over of fly ash from the boiler to the SCR catalysts is minimized to the maximum extent possible.

Typical of all catalysts, it is expected that the catalyst activity – i.e., its ability to promote the selective NO<sub>x</sub> reduction reaction -- will decrease over time. Thus, SCR vendors size the catalyst volumes and configuration with sufficient catalyst to typically guarantee the customer's NO<sub>x</sub> reduction requirements (i.e., percent reductions or specified SCR NO<sub>x</sub> outlet concentrations) for around 3 years. In practice it is not uncommon for SCR catalysts to function well for considerably longer, typically 5-7 years.

In order to ensure that the respective NO<sub>x</sub> reduction levels are met throughout the guaranteed life of the catalyst, all SCR systems are designed and operated consistent with a catalyst management plan (CMP), tailored for the specific application. The goal of the CMP is to monitor various catalyst parameters, including activity, as a function of time, such that steps can be taken to maintain overall desired activity levels. These steps typically include incorporation of a new catalyst layer into the initial “empty” catalyst layer space in the SCR; removal of the first (in the direction of gas flow) catalyst layer followed by rejuvenation of the catalyst in that layer and then replacement back into the SCR in a different position (i.e., reshuffling the catalysts in

the various layers); or wholesale replacement of catalysts in specific layers with new catalysts. In other words, while the SCR infrastructure itself can age with time, the catalyst layers in it can remain fresh via a number of rejuvenation/replacement strategies such that the over NOx guarantees in the new SCR, when first installed, can continue to be met. Additionally, manufacturers require periodic tune-up of the supporting ammonia injection grid in order to ensure that the ammonia injection is properly maintained as well.

### **Summary of EPA's Analysis of SCR Performance Capabilities:**

In its proposed denial of Maryland's 126 Petition,<sup>3</sup> EPA states:

The EPA believes that the petition's assumption about achievable operating [NOx] rates presents a technical weakness because the lowest historical rate at any particular unit may not be a rate that can be consistently achieved on a continual operating basis for technical reasons.

To reach this conclusion, EPA explains that it conducted an analysis to determine control efficiency that SCRs could achieve by reviewing "average NOx ozone season emissions rate across the fleet of coal-fired EGUs with SCR" for the years 2009 to 2015.<sup>4</sup> In conducting this analysis, EPA further explains that it:

considered and rejected the lowest or second lowest ozone season NOx rates, because the EPA determined that these rates may reflect new SCR systems and SCR systems all of whose components are new (*e.g.*, due to simultaneous replacement of multiple layers of catalyst rather than routine replacement of a single layer). Data from these new systems are not representative of ongoing achievable NOx rates considering that some SCR systems may have some broken-in components and routine maintenance schedules entailing replacement of individual components. Thus, in the CSAPR Update, the EPA determined that the third lowest fleetwide average coal-fired EGU NOx rate for EGUs with operating SCRs is most representative of ongoing, achievable emission rates.

EPA therefore concludes that:

Reliance on the lowest historical emissions rate to evaluate the feasibility and cost effectiveness of controls would likely overestimate the emissions reductions and,

---

<sup>3</sup> 83 FR 26666, June 8, 2018.

<sup>4</sup> 83 FR 26677, June 8, 2018.

consequently, underestimate the costs to restart idled or unoptimized controls. (internal citation omitted) Therefore, EPA does not agree with Maryland's conclusion that it is appropriate to identify whether controls are optimized at the EGUs addressed in the petition, and, thus, whether a short-term limit would be necessary, based on the units' lowest observed emissions rates."<sup>5</sup>

### **Technical Review of EPA's Analysis of SCR Performance Capabilities:**

For at least three independent reasons, EPA's discussion of SCR performance capabilities is methodologically and technically flawed, rendering EPA's critique of Maryland's petition misplaced. I address each in turn.

First, EPA's analysis is methodologically flawed because it is premised on an assumption that coal-fired EGUs were optimizing control efficiency at all of these units during the years EPA analyzed. Were this not to be the case, there would be no reason to conclude that the average ozone season NO<sub>x</sub> emission rate at coal-fired EGUs during these years bears any relationship to the level of control efficiency that SCR-equipped coal-fired EGUs are capable of achieving. Yet, EPA points to no legal requirement or economic driver to optimize SCR control efficiency during the years analyzed.<sup>6</sup> Indeed, there is every reason to believe that SCR-equipped coal-fired EGUs were not consistently optimizing their controls during this time. EPA's Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update (CSAPR Update)—which went into effect two ozone seasons later, during ozone season 2017—relies on optimization of installed SCR controls as the basis for driving significant additional emission reductions. To include these same non-optimized units in an analysis intended to identify the level of control that can be achieved by an optimized SCR is deeply flawed. Consequently, the data set that EPA considered in its analysis of Maryland's petition bears little relevance to the question EPA sought to address: namely, what level of control efficiency existing SCRs are capable of achieving.

Second, even if some SCR-equipped coal-fired EGUs were seeking to optimize use of their NO<sub>x</sub> controls during ozone seasons 2009 to 2015, the average NO<sub>x</sub> rate of all SCR-equipped units has

---

<sup>5</sup> 83 FR 26677, June 8, 2018.

<sup>6</sup> Although Phase 1 of the original Cross-State Air Pollution Rule was in effect beginning in ozone season 2012 and Phase 2 was in effective beginning in 2012, the caps were not set at a level that required optimization of SCRs across the coal fleet. Indeed, the continuing failure to optimize operation of SCRs is evidenced by that control strategy underpinning EPA's subsequent Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update.

limited bearing on what control efficiency an individual unit is capable of achieving. As discussed above, the control efficiency of an individual unit's SCR is a function of the sizing and arrangement of catalyst in the control box, the total volume of catalyst used, the CMP used and its efficacy, the degree of maldistribution of flow and temperature of the gas at the inlet to the SCR, the extent of proper mixing between ammonia and the flue gases, as well as a number of other factors that EPA failed to control for including coal type, boiler type, and the boiler-exit NO<sub>x</sub> levels achieved by in-boiler NO<sub>x</sub> reduction strategies. Data regarding the average level of control of the entire universe of SCR-equipped coal-fired EGUs are too coarse to provide meaningful information about what individual units are capable of achieving.

Third, EPA's purported basis for discarding the two lowest ozone season averages from its analysis is technically unsound. As explained in the previous section, EPA rejected the first and second lowest actual NO<sub>x</sub> levels achieved by units with SCR because it "determined that these rates may reflect new SCR systems and SCR systems all of whose components are new (e.g., due to simultaneous replacement of multiple layers of catalyst rather than routine replacement of a single layer)."<sup>7</sup> EPA provides no further support of any sort for these speculations, and none is technically valid.

As an initial matter, EPA does not appear to have considered any data on the date of SCR installations. Many SCRs were installed in conjunction with EPA's NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call, which went into effect during ozone season 2003, well prior to the years of EPA's analysis, casting doubt on whether the systems at issue were, in fact, new. Moreover, EPA seems to believe that because SCRs have "broken-in components" (presumably catalysts that lose activity over time) and "routine maintenance schedules" (presumably the CMP I discuss earlier), they cannot meet the same NO<sub>x</sub> levels as initially observed. EPA does not discuss that new SCR systems often have significantly more catalyst capacity than needed (so that their guarantees can be met at the end of the SCR life and not just at the beginning) and that not all of the SCR capacity is uniformly used. Thus, while more of the initial portions of the first layer of SCR catalyst (in the direction of gas flow) may see degradation over time, subsequent portions of the first layer and subsequent layers do not degrade at the same time. Often, changes in the mixing of the flue gases and the ammonia or the distribution of the gases over the catalysts (both of which can be tuned or maintained,

---

<sup>7</sup> 83 Fed. Reg. 26677.

irrespective of catalyst activity rates) or even factors such as the increase in SCR inlet NO<sub>x</sub> levels (which can be due to issue in the boiler, the burners, of the over-fire air systems – none of which are SCR catalyst related) can affect the SCR performance – and these factors have no bearing on the SCR catalyst. I agree that all components of the SCR, including the ammonia injection grids, gas distribution devices (if present), mixing grids, etc. have to be properly maintained. But, that does not mean that once these components become “broken-in” that their performance should cause SCR NO<sub>x</sub> reductions to be adversely affected. As far as EPA’s speculation regarding “routine maintenance” schedules, I note that the very purpose of the CPM (to the extent EPA meant the CMP when it used the generic “routine maintenance schedules” phrase) is to maintain the overall catalyst activity at a very high level, arguably even exceeding the activity of the new catalyst.

In its most recent 2017 revised Control Cost Manual,<sup>8</sup> Section 4, Chapter 2 dealing with SCR, with regards to catalyst management, EPA specifically states, in response to comments received from a local air pollution control agency (the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, in California):

“The revised SCR discussion of catalyst cleaning, rejuvenation, and regeneration are based on multiple references, some of which are more recent than the Feb 2006 article noted by the commenter. A Power Feb 2006 article notes the following: the catalyst life for regenerated catalyst is equal to or longer than the catalyst life of new catalyst; regeneration can fully restore the NO<sub>x</sub> catalyst activity, and by increasing the number of catalyst sites available, can increase the NO<sub>x</sub> catalyst activity from the original catalyst (by up to 25 percent)...

- Full restoration of original catalytic activity. [Platts, McMahon Feb 2006] [[50] Coalogix June 2011] [[45] STEAG Oct 2006]

- Same deactivation rate as a new catalyst in the same SCR installation, i.e., comparable equipment life as new catalyst. [Platts, McMahon Feb 2006] [[45] STEAG Oct 2006]...”<sup>9</sup> (emphasis added)

Thus, rejuvenating or regenerating used catalysts can not only restore the original catalyst activity, it can actually result in increased catalyst activity, thus providing even higher NO<sub>x</sub>

---

<sup>8</sup> Available at <https://www.epa.gov/economic-and-cost-analysis-air-pollution-regulations/cost-reports-and-guidance-air-pollution#cost%20manual>

<sup>9</sup> Public Comments on the Proposed Revisions to Section 4.2 Chapter 2 (SCR) of the Control Cost Manual, available at the link above, p. 21-22.

reductions and lower NO<sub>x</sub> levels than the original SCR. I note that this is based on industry experience going back over 10 years ago.

### **Conclusion**

In summary, EPA's rejection of Maryland's reasonable basis that coal-fired units equipped with SCR should be able to achieve the same levels of NO<sub>x</sub> as when the SCRs were first installed at these units – is without any technical merit. Moreover, EPA's methodology for analyzing SCR performance capabilities is flawed and unreliable. In fact, as I have demonstrated above, even 10+ years ago, as EPA itself notes in the update to the SCR section in its Control Cost Manual, even "broken-in" SCR catalysts can do better than new SCR catalysts.

## **Attachment A – Resume**

**RANAJIT (RON) SAHU, Ph.D, QEP, CEM (Nevada)**

**CONSULTANT, ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY ISSUES**

**311 North Story Place  
Alhambra, CA 91801  
Phone: 702.683.5466  
e-mail (preferred): sahuron@earthlink.net**

### **EXPERIENCE SUMMARY**

Dr. Sahu has over twenty eight years of experience in the fields of environmental, mechanical, and chemical engineering including: program and project management services; design and specification of pollution control equipment for a wide range of emissions sources including stationary and mobile sources; soils and groundwater remediation including landfills as remedy; combustion engineering evaluations; energy studies; multimedia environmental regulatory compliance (involving statutes and regulations such as the Federal CAA and its Amendments, Clean Water Act, TSCA, RCRA, CERCLA, SARA, OSHA, NEPA as well as various related state statutes); transportation air quality impact analysis; multimedia compliance audits; multimedia permitting (including air quality NSR/PSD permitting, Title V permitting, NPDES permitting for industrial and storm water discharges, RCRA permitting, etc.), multimedia/multi-pathway human health risk assessments for toxics; air dispersion modeling; and regulatory strategy development and support including negotiation of consent agreements and orders.

He has over twenty five years of project management experience and has successfully managed and executed numerous projects in this time period. This includes basic and applied research projects, design projects, regulatory compliance projects, permitting projects, energy studies, risk assessment projects, and projects involving the communication of environmental data and information to the public.

He has provided consulting services to numerous private sector, public sector and public interest group clients. His major clients over the past twenty five years include various trade associations as well as individual companies such as steel mills, petroleum refineries, cement manufacturers, aerospace companies, power generation facilities, lawn and garden equipment manufacturers, spa manufacturers, chemical distribution facilities, and various entities in the public sector including EPA, the US Dept. of Justice, several states, various agencies such as the California DTSC, various municipalities, etc.). Dr. Sahu has performed projects in all 50 states, numerous local jurisdictions and internationally.

In addition to consulting, Dr. Sahu has taught numerous courses in several Southern California universities including UCLA (air pollution), UC Riverside (air pollution, process hazard analysis), and Loyola Marymount University (air pollution, risk assessment, hazardous waste management) for the past seventeen years. In this time period he has also taught at Caltech, his alma mater (various engineering courses), at the University of Southern California (air pollution controls) and at California State University, Fullerton (transportation and air quality).

Dr. Sahu has and continues to provide expert witness services in a number of environmental areas discussed above in both state and Federal courts as well as before administrative bodies (please see Annex A).

### **EXPERIENCE RECORD**

2000-present **Independent Consultant.** Providing a variety of private sector (industrial companies, land development companies, law firms, etc.) public sector (such as the US Department of Justice) and



public interest group clients with project management, air quality consulting, waste remediation and management consulting, as well as regulatory and engineering support consulting services.

- 1995-2000 Parsons ES, **Associate, Senior Project Manager and Department Manager for Air Quality/Geosciences/Hazardous Waste Groups**, Pasadena. Responsible for the management of a group of approximately 24 air quality and environmental professionals, 15 geoscience, and 10 hazardous waste professionals providing full-service consulting, project management, regulatory compliance and A/E design assistance in all areas.
- Parsons ES, **Manager for Air Source Testing Services**. Responsible for the management of 8 individuals in the area of air source testing and air regulatory permitting projects located in Bakersfield, California.
- 1992-1995 Engineering-Science, Inc. **Principal Engineer and Senior Project Manager** in the air quality department. Responsibilities included multimedia regulatory compliance and permitting (including hazardous and nuclear materials), air pollution engineering (emissions from stationary and mobile sources, control of criteria and air toxics, dispersion modeling, risk assessment, visibility analysis, odor analysis), supervisory functions and project management.
- 1990-1992 Engineering-Science, Inc. **Principal Engineer and Project Manager** in the air quality department. Responsibilities included permitting, tracking regulatory issues, technical analysis, and supervisory functions on numerous air, water, and hazardous waste projects. Responsibilities also include client and agency interfacing, project cost and schedule control, and reporting to internal and external upper management regarding project status.
- 1989-1990 Kinetics Technology International, Corp. **Development Engineer**. Involved in thermal engineering R&D and project work related to low-NO<sub>x</sub> ceramic radiant burners, fired heater NO<sub>x</sub> reduction, SCR design, and fired heater retrofitting.
- 1988-1989 Heat Transfer Research, Inc. **Research Engineer**. Involved in the design of fired heaters, heat exchangers, air coolers, and other non-fired equipment. Also did research in the area of heat exchanger tube vibrations.

## **EDUCATION**

- 1984-1988 Ph.D., Mechanical Engineering, California Institute of Technology (Caltech), Pasadena, CA.
- 1984 M. S., Mechanical Engineering, Caltech, Pasadena, CA.
- 1978-1983 B. Tech (Honors), Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Kharagpur, India

## **TEACHING EXPERIENCE**

### **Caltech**

"Thermodynamics," Teaching Assistant, California Institute of Technology, 1983, 1987.

"Air Pollution Control," Teaching Assistant, California Institute of Technology, 1985.

"Caltech Secondary and High School Saturday Program," - taught various mathematics (algebra through calculus) and science (physics and chemistry) courses to high school students, 1983-1989.

"Heat Transfer," - taught this course in the Fall and Winter terms of 1994-1995 in the Division of Engineering and Applied Science.

"Thermodynamics and Heat Transfer," Fall and Winter Terms of 1996-1997.

### **U.C. Riverside, Extension**

"Toxic and Hazardous Air Contaminants," University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California. Various years since 1992.

"Prevention and Management of Accidental Air Emissions," University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California. Various years since 1992.

"Air Pollution Control Systems and Strategies," University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California, Summer 1992-93, Summer 1993-1994.

"Air Pollution Calculations," University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California, Fall 1993-94, Winter 1993-94, Fall 1994-95.

"Process Safety Management," University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California. Various years since 1992-2010.

"Process Safety Management," University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California, at SCAQMD, Spring 1993-94.

"Advanced Hazard Analysis - A Special Course for LEPCs," University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California, taught at San Diego, California, Spring 1993-1994.

"Advanced Hazardous Waste Management" University of California Extension Program, Riverside, California. 2005.

#### Loyola Marymount University

"Fundamentals of Air Pollution - Regulations, Controls and Engineering," Loyola Marymount University, Dept. of Civil Engineering. Various years since 1993.

"Air Pollution Control," Loyola Marymount University, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Fall 1994.

"Environmental Risk Assessment," Loyola Marymount University, Dept. of Civil Engineering. Various years since 1998.

"Hazardous Waste Remediation" Loyola Marymount University, Dept. of Civil Engineering. Various years since 2006.

#### University of Southern California

"Air Pollution Controls," University of Southern California, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Fall 1993, Fall 1994.

"Air Pollution Fundamentals," University of Southern California, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Winter 1994.

#### University of California, Los Angeles

"Air Pollution Fundamentals," University of California, Los Angeles, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Spring 1994, Spring 1999, Spring 2000, Spring 2003, Spring 2006, Spring 2007, Spring 2008, Spring 2009.

#### International Programs

"Environmental Planning and Management," 5 week program for visiting Chinese delegation, 1994.

"Environmental Planning and Management," 1 day program for visiting Russian delegation, 1995.

"Air Pollution Planning and Management," IEP, UCR, Spring 1996.

"Environmental Issues and Air Pollution," IEP, UCR, October 1996.

#### **PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND HONORS**

President of India Gold Medal, IIT Kharagpur, India, 1983.

Member of the Alternatives Assessment Committee of the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission, established by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, 1992-present.

American Society of Mechanical Engineers: Los Angeles Section Executive Committee, Heat Transfer Division, and Fuels and Combustion Technology Division, 1987-present.

Air and Waste Management Association, West Coast Section, 1989-present.

#### **PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS**

EIT, California (#XE088305), 1993.

REA I, California (#07438), 2000.

Certified Permitting Professional, South Coast AQMD (#C8320), since 1993.

QEP, Institute of Professional Environmental Practice, since 2000.

CEM, State of Nevada (#EM-1699). Expiration 10/07/2017.

#### **PUBLICATIONS (PARTIAL LIST)**

"Physical Properties and Oxidation Rates of Chars from Bituminous Coals," with Y.A. Levendis, R.C. Flagan and G.R. Gavalas, *Fuel*, **67**, 275-283 (1988).

"Char Combustion: Measurement and Analysis of Particle Temperature Histories," with R.C. Flagan, G.R. Gavalas and P.S. Northrop, *Comb. Sci. Tech.* **60**, 215-230 (1988).

"On the Combustion of Bituminous Coal Chars," PhD Thesis, California Institute of Technology (1988).

"Optical Pyrometry: A Powerful Tool for Coal Combustion Diagnostics," *J. Coal Quality*, **8**, 17-22 (1989).

"Post-Ignition Transients in the Combustion of Single Char Particles," with Y.A. Levendis, R.C. Flagan and G.R. Gavalas, *Fuel*, **68**, 849-855 (1989).

"A Model for Single Particle Combustion of Bituminous Coal Char." Proc. ASME National Heat Transfer Conference, Philadelphia, **HTD-Vol. 106**, 505-513 (1989).

"Discrete Simulation of Cenospheric Coal-Char Combustion," with R.C. Flagan and G.R. Gavalas, *Combust. Flame*, **77**, 337-346 (1989).

"Particle Measurements in Coal Combustion," with R.C. Flagan, in "**Combustion Measurements**" (ed. N. Chigier), Hemisphere Publishing Corp. (1991).

"Cross Linking in Pore Structures and Its Effect on Reactivity," with G.R. Gavalas in preparation.

"Natural Frequencies and Mode Shapes of Straight Tubes," Proprietary Report for Heat Transfer Research Institute, Alhambra, CA (1990).

"Optimal Tube Layouts for Kamui SL-Series Exchangers," with K. Ishihara, Proprietary Report for Kamui Company Limited, Tokyo, Japan (1990).

"HTRI Process Heater Conceptual Design," Proprietary Report for Heat Transfer Research Institute, Alhambra, CA (1990).

"Asymptotic Theory of Transonic Wind Tunnel Wall Interference," with N.D. Malmuth and others, Arnold Engineering Development Center, Air Force Systems Command, USAF (1990).

"Gas Radiation in a Fired Heater Convection Section," Proprietary Report for Heat Transfer Research Institute, College Station, TX (1990).

"Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop in NTIW Heat Exchangers," Proprietary Report for Heat Transfer Research Institute, College Station, TX (1991).

"NO<sub>x</sub> Control and Thermal Design," Thermal Engineering Tech Briefs, (1994).

"From Purchase of Landmark Environmental Insurance to Remediation: Case Study in Henderson, Nevada," with Robin E. Bain and Jill Quillin, presented at the AQMA Annual Meeting, Florida, 2001.

"The Jones Act Contribution to Global Warming, Acid Rain and Toxic Air Contaminants," with Charles W. Botsford, presented at the AQMA Annual Meeting, Florida, 2001.

**PRESENTATIONS (PARTIAL LIST)**

"Pore Structure and Combustion Kinetics - Interpretation of Single Particle Temperature-Time Histories," with P.S. Northrop, R.C. Flagan and G.R. Gavalas, presented at the AIChE Annual Meeting, New York (1987).

"Measurement of Temperature-Time Histories of Burning Single Coal Char Particles," with R.C. Flagan, presented at the American Flame Research Committee Fall International Symposium, Pittsburgh, (1988).

"Physical Characterization of a Cenospheric Coal Char Burned at High Temperatures," with R.C. Flagan and G.R. Gavalas, presented at the Fall Meeting of the Western States Section of the Combustion Institute, Laguna Beach, California (1988).

"Control of Nitrogen Oxide Emissions in Gas Fired Heaters - The Retrofit Experience," with G. P. Croce and R. Patel, presented at the International Conference on Environmental Control of Combustion Processes (Jointly sponsored by the American Flame Research Committee and the Japan Flame Research Committee), Honolulu, Hawaii (1991).

"Air Toxics - Past, Present and the Future," presented at the Joint AIChE/AAEE Breakfast Meeting at the AIChE 1991 Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, California, November 17-22 (1991).

"Air Toxics Emissions and Risk Impacts from Automobiles Using Reformulated Gasolines," presented at the Third Annual Current Issues in Air Toxics Conference, Sacramento, California, November 9-10 (1992).

"Air Toxics from Mobile Sources," presented at the Environmental Health Sciences (ESE) Seminar Series, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, November 12, (1992).

"Kilns, Ovens, and Dryers - Present and Future," presented at the Gas Company Air Quality Permit Assistance Seminar, Industry Hills Sheraton, California, November 20, (1992).

"The Design and Implementation of Vehicle Scrapping Programs," presented at the 86th Annual Meeting of the Air and Waste Management Association, Denver, Colorado, June 12, 1993.

"Air Quality Planning and Control in Beijing, China," presented at the 87th Annual Meeting of the Air and Waste Management Association, Cincinnati, Ohio, June 19-24, 1994.

## Annex A

### Expert Litigation Support

#### A. Occasions where Dr. Sahu has provided Written or Oral testimony before Congress:

1. In July 2012, provided expert written and oral testimony to the House Subcommittee on Energy and the Environment, Committee on Science, Space, and Technology at a Hearing entitled “Hitting the Ethanol Blend Wall – Examining the Science on E15.”

#### B. Matters for which Dr. Sahu has provided affidavits and expert reports include:

2. Affidavit for Rocky Mountain Steel Mills, Inc. located in Pueblo Colorado – dealing with the technical uncertainties associated with night-time opacity measurements in general and at this steel mini-mill.
3. Expert reports and depositions (2/28/2002 and 3/1/2002; 12/2/2003 and 12/3/2003; 5/24/2004) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Ohio Edison NSR Cases. *United States, et al. v. Ohio Edison Co., et al.*, C2-99-1181 (Southern District of Ohio).
4. Expert reports and depositions (5/23/2002 and 5/24/2002) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Illinois Power NSR Case. *United States v. Illinois Power Co., et al.*, 99-833-MJR (Southern District of Illinois).
5. Expert reports and depositions (11/25/2002 and 11/26/2002) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Duke Power NSR Case. *United States, et al. v. Duke Energy Corp.*, 1:00-CV-1262 (Middle District of North Carolina).
6. Expert reports and depositions (10/6/2004 and 10/7/2004; 7/10/2006) on behalf of the United States in connection with the American Electric Power NSR Cases. *United States, et al. v. American Electric Power Service Corp., et al.*, C2-99-1182, C2-99-1250 (Southern District of Ohio).
7. Affidavit (March 2005) on behalf of the Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy and others in the matter of the Application of Heron Lake BioEnergy LLC to construct and operate an ethanol production facility – submitted to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.
8. Expert Report and Deposition (10/31/2005 and 11/1/2005) on behalf of the United States in connection with the East Kentucky Power Cooperative NSR Case. *United States v. East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.*, 5:04-cv-00034-KSF (Eastern District of Kentucky).
9. Affidavits and deposition on behalf of Basic Management Inc. (BMI) Companies in connection with the BMI vs. USA remediation cost recovery Case.
10. Expert Report on behalf of Penn Future and others in the Cambria Coke plant permit challenge in Pennsylvania.
11. Expert Report on behalf of the Appalachian Center for the Economy and the Environment and others in the Western Greenbrier permit challenge in West Virginia.
12. Expert Report, deposition (via telephone on January 26, 2007) on behalf of various Montana petitioners (Citizens Awareness Network (CAN), Women’s Voices for the Earth (WVE) and the Clark Fork Coalition (CFC)) in the Thompson River Cogeneration LLC Permit No. 3175-04 challenge.
13. Expert Report and deposition (2/2/07) on behalf of the Texas Clean Air Cities Coalition at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) in the matter of the permit challenges to TXU Project Apollo’s eight new proposed PRB-fired PC boilers located at seven TX sites.
14. Expert Testimony (July 2007) on behalf of the Izaak Walton League of America and others in connection with the acquisition of power by Xcel Energy from the proposed Gascoyne Power Plant – at the State of

- Minnesota, Office of Administrative Hearings for the Minnesota PUC (MPUC No. E002/CN-06-1518; OAH No. 12-2500-17857-2).
15. Affidavit (July 2007) Comments on the Big Cajun I Draft Permit on behalf of the Sierra Club – submitted to the Louisiana DEQ.
  16. Expert Report and Deposition (12/13/2007) on behalf of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania – Dept. of Environmental Protection, State of Connecticut, State of New York, and State of New Jersey (Plaintiffs) in connection with the Allegheny Energy NSR Case. *Plaintiffs v. Allegheny Energy Inc., et al.*, 2:05cv0885 (Western District of Pennsylvania).
  17. Expert Reports and Pre-filed Testimony before the Utah Air Quality Board on behalf of Sierra Club in the Sevier Power Plant permit challenge.
  18. Expert Report and Deposition (October 2007) on behalf of MTD Products Inc., in connection with *General Power Products, LLC v MTD Products Inc.*, 1:06 CVA 0143 (Southern District of Ohio, Western Division) .
  19. Expert Report and Deposition (June 2008) on behalf of Sierra Club and others in the matter of permit challenges (Title V: 28.0801-29 and PSD: 28.0803-PSD) for the Big Stone II unit, proposed to be located near Milbank, South Dakota.
  20. Expert Reports, Affidavit, and Deposition (August 15, 2008) on behalf of Earthjustice in the matter of air permit challenge (CT-4631) for the Basin Electric Dry Fork station, under construction near Gillette, Wyoming before the Environmental Quality Council of the State of Wyoming.
  21. Affidavits (May 2010/June 2010 in the Office of Administrative Hearings)/Declaration and Expert Report (November 2009 in the Office of Administrative Hearings) on behalf of NRDC and the Southern Environmental Law Center in the matter of the air permit challenge for Duke Cliffside Unit 6. Office of Administrative Hearing Matters 08 EHR 0771, 0835 and 0836 and 09 HER 3102, 3174, and 3176 (consolidated).
  22. Declaration (August 2008), Expert Report (January 2009), and Declaration (May 2009) on behalf of Southern Alliance for Clean Energy in the matter of the air permit challenge for Duke Cliffside Unit 6. *Southern Alliance for Clean Energy et al., v. Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC*, Case No. 1:08-cv-00318-LHT-DLH (Western District of North Carolina, Asheville Division).
  23. Declaration (August 2008) on behalf of the Sierra Club in the matter of Dominion Wise County plant MACT.us
  24. Expert Report (June 2008) on behalf of Sierra Club for the Green Energy Resource Recovery Project, MACT Analysis.
  25. Expert Report (February 2009) on behalf of Sierra Club and the Environmental Integrity Project in the matter of the air permit challenge for NRG Limestone’s proposed Unit 3 in Texas.
  26. Expert Report (June 2009) on behalf of MTD Products, Inc., in the matter of *Alice Holmes and Vernon Holmes v. Home Depot USA, Inc., et al.*
  27. Expert Report (August 2009) on behalf of Sierra Club and the Southern Environmental Law Center in the matter of the air permit challenge for Santee Cooper’s proposed Pee Dee plant in South Carolina).
  28. Statements (May 2008 and September 2009) on behalf of the Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency in the matter of the Minnesota Haze State Implementation Plans.
  29. Expert Report (August 2009) on behalf of Environmental Defense, in the matter of permit challenges to the proposed Las Brisas coal fired power plant project at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).
  30. Expert Report and Rebuttal Report (September 2009) on behalf of the Sierra Club, in the matter of challenges to the proposed Medicine Bow Fuel and Power IGL plant in Cheyenne, Wyoming.

31. Expert Report (December 2009) and Rebuttal reports (May 2010 and June 2010) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Alabama Power Company NSR Case. *United States v. Alabama Power Company*, CV-01-HS-152-S (Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division).
32. Pre-filed Testimony (October 2009) on behalf of Environmental Defense and others, in the matter of challenges to the proposed White Stallion Energy Center coal fired power plant project at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).
33. Pre-filed Testimony (July 2010) and Written Rebuttal Testimony (August 2010) on behalf of the State of New Mexico Environment Department in the matter of Proposed Regulation 20.2.350 NMAC – *Greenhouse Gas Cap and Trade Provisions*, No. EIB 10-04 (R), to the State of New Mexico, Environmental Improvement Board.
34. Expert Report (August 2010) and Rebuttal Expert Report (October 2010) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Louisiana Generating NSR Case. *United States v. Louisiana Generating, LLC*, 09-CV100-RET-CN (Middle District of Louisiana) – Liability Phase.
35. Declaration (August 2010), Reply Declaration (November 2010), Expert Report (April 2011), Supplemental and Rebuttal Expert Report (July 2011) on behalf of the United States in the matter of DTE Energy Company and Detroit Edison Company (Monroe Unit 2). *United States of America v. DTE Energy Company and Detroit Edison Company*, Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-13101-BAF-RSW (Eastern District of Michigan).
36. Expert Report and Deposition (August 2010) as well as Affidavit (September 2010) on behalf of Kentucky Waterways Alliance, Sierra Club, and Valley Watch in the matter of challenges to the NPDES permit issued for the Trimble County power plant by the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet to Louisville Gas and Electric, File No. DOW-41106-047.
37. Expert Report (August 2010), Rebuttal Expert Report (September 2010), Supplemental Expert Report (September 2011), and Declaration (November 2011) on behalf of Wild Earth Guardians in the matter of opacity exceedances and monitor downtime at the Public Service Company of Colorado (Xcel)’s Cherokee power plant. No. 09-cv-1862 (District of Colorado).
38. Written Direct Expert Testimony (August 2010) and Affidavit (February 2012) on behalf of Fall-Line Alliance for a Clean Environment and others in the matter of the PSD Air Permit for Plant Washington issued by Georgia DNR at the Office of State Administrative Hearing, State of Georgia (OSAH-BNR-AQ-1031707-98-WALKER).
39. Deposition (August 2010) on behalf of Environmental Defense, in the matter of the remanded permit challenge to the proposed Las Brisas coal fired power plant project at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).
40. Expert Report, Supplemental/Rebuttal Expert Report, and Declarations (October 2010, November 2010, September 2012) on behalf of New Mexico Environment Department (Plaintiff-Intervenor), Grand Canyon Trust and Sierra Club (Plaintiffs) in the matter of *Plaintiffs v. Public Service Company of New Mexico* (PNM), Civil No. 1:02-CV-0552 BB/ATC (ACE) (District of New Mexico).
41. Expert Report (October 2010) and Rebuttal Expert Report (November 2010) (BART Determinations for PSCo Hayden and CSU Martin Drake units) to the Colorado Air Quality Commission on behalf of Coalition of Environmental Organizations.
42. Expert Report (November 2010) (BART Determinations for TriState Craig Units, CSU Nixon Unit, and PRPA Rawhide Unit) to the Colorado Air Quality Commission on behalf of Coalition of Environmental Organizations.
43. Declaration (November 2010) on behalf of the Sierra Club in connection with the Martin Lake Station Units 1, 2, and 3. *Sierra Club v. Energy Future Holdings Corporation and Luminant Generation Company LLC*, Case No. 5:10-cv-00156-DF-CMC (Eastern District of Texas, Texarkana Division).
44. Pre-Filed Testimony (January 2011) and Declaration (February 2011) to the Georgia Office of State Administrative Hearings (OSAH) in the matter of Minor Source HAPs status for the proposed Longleaf

- Energy Associates power plant (OSAH-BNR-AQ-1115157-60-HOWELLS) on behalf of the Friends of the Chattahoochee and the Sierra Club).
45. Declaration (February 2011) in the matter of the Draft Title V Permit for RRI Energy MidAtlantic Power Holdings LLC Shawville Generating Station (Pennsylvania), ID No. 17-00001 on behalf of the Sierra Club.
  46. Expert Report (March 2011), Rebuttal Expert Report (June 2011) on behalf of the United States in *United States of America v. Cemex, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 09-cv-00019-MSK-MEH (District of Colorado).
  47. Declaration (April 2011) and Expert Report (July 16, 2012) in the matter of the Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA)'s Fayette (Sam Seymour) Power Plant on behalf of the Texas Campaign for the Environment. *Texas Campaign for the Environment v. Lower Colorado River Authority*, Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-00791 (Southern District of Texas, Houston Division).
  48. Declaration (June 2011) on behalf of the Plaintiffs MYTAPN in the matter of Microsoft-Yes, Toxic Air Pollution-No (MYTAPN) v. State of Washington, Department of Ecology and Microsoft Corporation Columbia Data Center to the Pollution Control Hearings Board, State of Washington, Matter No. PCHB No. 10-162.
  49. Expert Report (June 2011) on behalf of the New Hampshire Sierra Club at the State of New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 10-261 – the 2010 Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan (LCIRP) submitted by the Public Service Company of New Hampshire (re. Merrimack Station Units 1 and 2).
  50. Declaration (August 2011) in the matter of the Sandy Creek Energy Associates L.P. Sandy Creek Power Plant on behalf of Sierra Club and Public Citizen. *Sierra Club, Inc. and Public Citizen, Inc. v. Sandy Creek Energy Associates, L.P.*, Civil Action No. A-08-CA-648-LY (Western District of Texas, Austin Division).
  51. Expert Report (October 2011) on behalf of the Defendants in the matter of *John Quiles and Jeanette Quiles et al. v. Bradford-White Corporation, MTD Products, Inc., Kohler Co., et al.*, Case No. 3:10-cv-747 (TJM/DEP) (Northern District of New York).
  52. Declaration (October 2011) on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the matter of *American Nurses Association et. al. (Plaintiffs), v. US EPA (Defendant)*, Case No. 1:08-cv-02198-RMC (US District Court for the District of Columbia).
  53. Declaration (February 2012) and Second Declaration (February 2012) in the matter of *Washington Environmental Council and Sierra Club Washington State Chapter v. Washington State Department of Ecology and Western States Petroleum Association*, Case No. 11-417-MJP (Western District of Washington).
  54. Expert Report (March 2012) and Supplemental Expert Report (November 2013) in the matter of *Environment Texas Citizen Lobby, Inc and Sierra Club v. ExxonMobil Corporation et al.*, Civil Action No. 4:10-cv-4969 (Southern District of Texas, Houston Division).
  55. Declaration (March 2012) in the matter of *Center for Biological Diversity, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency*, Case No. 11-1101 (consolidated with 11-1285, 11-1328 and 11-1336) (US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit).
  56. Declaration (March 2012) in the matter of *Sierra Club v. The Kansas Department of Health and Environment*, Case No. 11-105,493-AS (Holcomb power plant) (Supreme Court of the State of Kansas).
  57. Declaration (March 2012) in the matter of the Las Brisas Energy Center *Environmental Defense Fund et al., v. Texas Commission on Environmental Quality*, Cause No. D-1-GN-11-001364 (District Court of Travis County, Texas, 261<sup>st</sup> Judicial District).
  58. Expert Report (April 2012), Supplemental and Rebuttal Expert Report (July 2012), and Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report (August 2012) on behalf of the states of New Jersey and Connecticut in the matter of the Portland Power plant *State of New Jersey and State of Connecticut (Intervenor-Plaintiff) v. RRI Energy Mid-Atlantic Power Holdings et al.*, Civil Action No. 07-CV-5298 (JKG) (Eastern District of Pennsylvania).



59. Declaration (April 2012) in the matter of the EPA's EGU MATS Rule, on behalf of the Environmental Integrity Project.
60. Expert Report (August 2012) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Louisiana Generating NSR Case. *United States v. Louisiana Generating, LLC*, 09-CV100-RET-CN (Middle District of Louisiana) – Harm Phase.
61. Declaration (September 2012) in the Matter of the Application of *Energy Answers Incinerator, Inc.* for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct a 120 MW Generating Facility in Baltimore City, Maryland, before the Public Service Commission of Maryland, Case No. 9199.
62. Expert Report (October 2012) on behalf of the Appellants (Robert Concilus and Leah Humes) in the matter of Robert Concilus and Leah Humes v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and Crawford Renewable Energy, before the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board, Docket No. 2011-167-R.
63. Expert Report (October 2012), Supplemental Expert Report (January 2013), and Affidavit (June 2013) in the matter of various Environmental Petitioners v. North Carolina DENR/DAQ and Carolinas Cement Company, before the Office of Administrative Hearings, State of North Carolina.
64. Pre-filed Testimony (October 2012) on behalf of No-Sag in the matter of the North Springfield Sustainable Energy Project before the State of Vermont, Public Service Board.
65. Pre-filed Testimony (November 2012) on behalf of Clean Wisconsin in the matter of Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Construct and Place in Operation a New Multi-Pollutant Control Technology System (ReACT) for Unit 3 of the Weston Generating Station, before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, Docket No. 6690-CE-197.
66. Expert Report (February 2013) on behalf of Petitioners in the matter of Credence Crematory, Cause No. 12-A-J-4538 before the Indiana Office of Environmental Adjudication.
67. Expert Report (April 2013), Rebuttal report (July 2013), and Declarations (October 2013, November 2013) on behalf of the Sierra Club in connection with the Luminant Big Brown Case. *Sierra Club v. Energy Future Holdings Corporation and Luminant Generation Company LLC*, Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-00108-WSS (Western District of Texas, Waco Division).
68. Declaration (April 2013) on behalf of Petitioners in the matter of *Sierra Club, et al., (Petitioners) v Environmental Protection Agency et al. (Respondents)*, Case No., 13-1112, (Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit).
69. Expert Report (May 2013) and Rebuttal Expert Report (July 2013) on behalf of the Sierra Club in connection with the Luminant Martin Lake Case. *Sierra Club v. Energy Future Holdings Corporation and Luminant Generation Company LLC*, Civil Action No. 5:10-cv-0156-MHS-CMC (Eastern District of Texas, Texarkana Division).
70. Declaration (August 2013) on behalf of A. J. Acosta Company, Inc., in the matter of *A. J. Acosta Company, Inc., v. County of San Bernardino*, Case No. CIVSS803651.
71. Comments (October 2013) on behalf of the Washington Environmental Council and the Sierra Club in the matter of the Washington State Oil Refinery RACT (for Greenhouse Gases), submitted to the Washington State Department of Ecology, the Northwest Clean Air Agency, and the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency.
72. Statement (November 2013) on behalf of various Environmental Organizations in the matter of the Boswell Energy Center (BEC) Unit 4 Environmental Retrofit Project, to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. E-015/M-12-920.
73. Expert Report (December 2013) on behalf of the United States in *United States of America v. Ameren Missouri*, Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-00077-RWS (Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division).
74. Expert Testimony (December 2013) on behalf of the Sierra Club in the matter of Public Service Company of New Hampshire Merrimack Station Scrubber Project and Cost Recovery, Docket No. DE 11-250, to the State of New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.

75. Expert Report (January 2014) on behalf of Baja, Inc., in *Baja, Inc., v. Automotive Testing and Development Services, Inc. et. al.*, Civil Action No. 8:13-CV-02057-GRA (District of South Carolina, Anderson/Greenwood Division).
76. Declaration (March 2014) on behalf of the Center for International Environmental Law, Chesapeake Climate Action Network, Friends of the Earth, Pacific Environment, and the Sierra Club (Plaintiffs) in the matter of *Plaintiffs v. the Export-Import Bank (Ex-Im Bank) of the United States*, Civil Action No. 13-1820 RC (District Court for the District of Columbia).
77. Declaration (April 2014) on behalf of Respondent-Intervenors in the matter of *Mexichem Specialty Resins Inc., et al., (Petitioners) v Environmental Protection Agency et al.*, Case No., 12-1260 (and Consolidated Case Nos. 12-1263, 12-1265, 12-1266, and 12-1267), (Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit).
78. Direct Prefiled Testimony (June 2014) on behalf of the Michigan Environmental Council and the Sierra Club in the matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Authority to Implement a Power Supply Cost Recovery (PSCR) Plan in its Rate Schedules for 2014 Metered Jurisdictional Sales of Electricity, Case No. U-17319 (Michigan Public Service Commission).
79. Expert Report (June 2014) on behalf of ECM Biofilms in the matter of the US Federal Trade Commission (FTC) v. ECM Biofilms (FTC Docket #9358).
80. Direct Prefiled Testimony (August 2014) on behalf of the Michigan Environmental Council and the Sierra Club in the matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Implement a Power Supply Cost Recovery (PSCR) Plan in its Rate Schedules for 2014 Metered Jurisdictional Sales of Electricity, Case No. U-17317 (Michigan Public Service Commission).
81. Declaration (July 2014) on behalf of Public Health Intervenors in the matter of *EME Homer City Generation v. US EPA* (Case No. 11-1302 and consolidated cases) relating to the lifting of the stay entered by the Court on December 30, 2011 (US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia).
82. Expert Report (September 2014), Rebuttal Expert Report (December 2014) and Supplemental Expert Report (March 2015) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Sierra Club and Montana Environmental Information Center (Plaintiffs) v. PPL Montana LLC, Avista Corporation, Puget Sound Energy, Portland General Electric Company, Northwestern Corporation, and PacifiCorp (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. CV 13-32-BLG-DLC-JCL (US District Court for the District of Montana, Billings Division).
83. Expert Report (November 2014) on behalf of Niagara County, the Town of Lewiston, and the Villages of Lewiston and Youngstown in the matter of CWM Chemical Services, LLC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Permit Application Nos.: 9-2934-00022/00225, 9-2934-00022/00231, 9-2934-00022/00232, and 9-2934-00022/00249 (pending).
84. *Declaration (January 2015) relating to Startup/Shutdown in the MATS Rule (EPA Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0234) on behalf of the Environmental Integrity Project.*
85. Pre-filed Direct Testimony (March 2015), Supplemental Testimony (May 2015), and Surrebuttal Testimony (December 2015) on behalf of Friends of the Columbia Gorge in the matter of the Application for a Site Certificate for the Troutdale Energy Center before the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council.
86. Brief of Amici Curiae Experts in Air Pollution Control and Air Quality Regulation in Support of the Respondents, On Writs of Certiorari to the US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, No. 14-46, 47, 48. *Michigan et. al., (Petitioners) v. EPA et. al., Utility Air Regulatory Group (Petitioners) v. EPA et. al., National Mining Association et. al., (Petitioner) v. EPA et. al.*, (Supreme Court of the United States).
87. Expert Report (March 2015) and Rebuttal Expert Report (January 2016) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Conservation Law Foundation v. Broadrock Gas Services LLC, Rhode Island LFG GENCO LLC, and Rhode Island Resource Recovery Corporation (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-M-PAS (US District Court for the District of Rhode Island).
88. Declaration (April 2015) relating to various Technical Corrections for the MATS Rule (EPA Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0234) on behalf of the Environmental Integrity Project.
89. Direct Prefiled Testimony (May 2015) on behalf of the Michigan Environmental Council, the Natural Resources Defense Council, and the Sierra Club in the matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company

- for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority, Case No. U-17767 (Michigan Public Service Commission).
90. Expert Report (July 2015) and Rebuttal Expert Report (July 2015) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Northwest Environmental Defense Center et. al., v. Cascade Kelly Holdings LLC, d/b/a Columbia Pacific Bio-Refinery, and Global Partners LP (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-01059-SI (US District Court for the District of Oregon, Portland Division).
  91. Declaration (August 2015, Docket No. 1570376) in support of “Opposition of Respondent-Intervenors American Lung Association, et. al., to Tri-State Generation’s Emergency Motion;” Declaration (September 2015, Docket No. 1574820) in support of “Joint Motion of the State, Local Government, and Public Health Respondent-Intervenors for Remand Without Vacatur;” Declaration (October 2015) in support of “Joint Motion of the State, Local Government, and Public Health Respondent-Intervenors to State and Certain Industry Petitioners’ Motion to Govern, *White Stallion Energy Center, LLC v. US EPA*, Case No. 12-1100 (US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia).
  92. Declaration (September 2015) in support of the Draft Title V Permit for Dickerson Generating Station (Proposed Permit No 24-031-0019) on behalf of the Environmental Integrity Project.
  93. Expert Report (Liability Phase) (December 2015) and Rebuttal Expert Report (February 2016) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., Sierra Club, Inc., Environmental Law and Policy Center, and Respiratory Health Association v. Illinois Power Resources LLC, and Illinois Power Resources Generating LLC (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01181 (US District Court for the Central District of Illinois, Peoria Division).
  94. Declaration (December 2015) in support of the Petition to Object to the Title V Permit for Morgantown Generating Station (Proposed Permit No 24-017-0014) on behalf of the Environmental Integrity Project.
  95. Expert Report (November 2015) on behalf of Appellants in the matter of *Sierra Club, et al. v. Craig W. Butler, Director of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency et al.*, ERAC Case No. 14-256814.
  96. Affidavit (January 2016) on behalf of Bridgewatch Detroit in the matter of *Bridgewatch Detroit v. Waterfront Petroleum Terminal Co., and Waterfront Terminal Holdings, LLC.*, in the Circuit Court for the County of Wayne, State of Michigan.
  97. Expert Report (February 2016) and Rebuttal Expert Report (July 2016) on behalf of the challengers in the matter of the Delaware Riverkeeper Network, Clean Air Council, et. al., vs. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and R. E. Gas Development LLC regarding the Geyer well site before the Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board.
  98. Direct Testimony (May 2016) in the matter of Tesoro Savage LLC Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, Case No. 15-001 before the State of Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council.
  99. Declaration (June 2016) relating to deficiencies in air quality analysis for the proposed Millenium Bulk Terminal, Port of Longview, Washington.
  100. Declaration (December 2016) relating to EPA’s refusal to set limits on PM emissions from coal-fired power plants that reflect pollution reductions achievable with fabric filters on behalf of Environmental Integrity Project, Clean Air Council, Chesapeake Climate Action Network, Downwinders at Risk represented by Earthjustice in the matter of *ARIPPA v EPA, Case No. 15-1180*. (D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals).
  101. Expert Report (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Huntley and Huntley Poseidon Well Pad on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.
  102. Expert Report (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Apex Energy Backus Well Pad on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.

103. Expert Report (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Apex Energy Drakulic Well Pad on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.
104. Expert Report (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Apex Energy Deutsch Well Pad on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.
105. Affidavit (February 2017) pertaining to deficiencies water discharge compliance issues at the Wood River Refinery in the matter of *People of the State of Illinois (Plaintiff) v. Phillips 66 Company, ConocoPhillips Company, WRB Refining LP (Defendants)*, Case No. 16-CH-656, (Circuit Court for the Third Judicial Circuit, Madison County, Illinois).
106. Expert Report (March 2017) on behalf of the Plaintiff pertaining to non-degradation analysis for waste water discharges from a power plant in the matter of *Sierra Club (Plaintiff) v. Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) and Lackawanna Energy Center*, Docket No. 2016-047-L (consolidated), (Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board).
107. Expert Report (March 2017) on behalf of the Plaintiff pertaining to air emissions from the Heritage incinerator in East Liverpool, Ohio in the matter of *Save our County (Plaintiff) v. Heritage Thermal Services, Inc. (Defendant)*, Case No. 4:16-CV-1544-BYP, (US District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division).
108. Rebuttal Expert Report (June 2017) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Casey Voight and Julie Voight (Plaintiffs) v Coyote Creek Mining Company LLC (Defendant)*, Civil Action No. 1:15-CV-00109 (US District Court for the District of North Dakota, Western Division).
109. Expert Affidavit (August 2017) and Penalty/Remedy Expert Affidavit (October 2017) on behalf of Plaintiff in the matter of *Wildearth Guardians (Plaintiff) v Colorado Springs Utility Board (Defendant,)* Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00357-CMA-CBS (US District Court for the District of Colorado).
110. Expert Report (August 2017) on behalf of Appellant in the matter of *Patricia Ann Troiano (Appellant) v. Upper Burrell Township Zoning Hearing Board (Appellee)*, Court of Common Pleas of Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania, Civil Division.
111. Expert Report (October 2017), Supplemental Expert Report (October 2017), and Rebuttal Expert Report (November 2017) on behalf of Defendant in the matter of *Oakland Bulk and Oversized Terminal (Plaintiff) v City of Oakland (Defendant,)* Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-07014-VC (US District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division).
112. Declaration (December 2017) on behalf of the Environmental Integrity Project in the matter of permit issuance for ATI Flat Rolled Products Holdings, Breckenridge, PA to the Allegheny County Health Department.
113. Expert Report (Harm Phase) (January 2018) and Rebuttal Expert Report (Harm Phase) (May 2018) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., Sierra Club, Inc., and Respiratory Health Association v. Illinois Power Resources LLC, and Illinois Power Resources Generating LLC (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01181 (US District Court for the Central District of Illinois, Peoria Division).
114. Declaration (February 2018) on behalf of the Chesapeake Bay Foundation, et. al., in the matter of the Section 126 Petition filed by the state of Maryland in *State of Maryland v. Pruitt (Defendant)*, Civil Action No. JKB-17-2939 (Consolidated with No. JKB-17-2873) (US District Court for the District of Maryland).
115. Direct Pre-filed Testimony (March 2018) on behalf of the National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA) in the matter of *NPCA v State of Washington, Department of Ecology and BP West Coast Products, LLC*, PCHB No. 17-055 (Pollution Control Hearings Board for the State of Washington).
116. Expert Affidavit (April 2018) and Second Expert Affidavit (May 2018) on behalf of Petitioners in the matter of *Coosa River Basin Initiative and Sierra Club (Petitioners) v State of Georgia Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Department of Natural Resources (Respondent) and Georgia Power*

*Company (Intervenor/Respondent)*, Docket Nos: 1825406-BNR-WW-57-Howells and 1826761-BNR-WW-57-Howells, Office of State Administrative Hearings, State of Georgia.

C. Occasions where Dr. Sahu has provided oral testimony in depositions, at trial or in similar proceedings include the following:

117. Deposition on behalf of Rocky Mountain Steel Mills, Inc. located in Pueblo, Colorado – dealing with the manufacture of steel in mini-mills including methods of air pollution control and BACT in steel mini-mills and opacity issues at this steel mini-mill.
118. Trial Testimony (February 2002) on behalf of Rocky Mountain Steel Mills, Inc. in Denver District Court.
119. Trial Testimony (February 2003) on behalf of the United States in the Ohio Edison NSR Cases, *United States, et al. v. Ohio Edison Co., et al.*, C2-99-1181 (Southern District of Ohio).
120. Trial Testimony (June 2003) on behalf of the United States in the Illinois Power NSR Case, *United States v. Illinois Power Co., et al.*, 99-833-MJR (Southern District of Illinois).
121. Deposition (10/20/2005) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Cinergy NSR Case. *United States, et al. v. Cinergy Corp., et al.*, IP 99-1693-C-M/S (Southern District of Indiana).
122. Oral Testimony (August 2006) on behalf of the Appalachian Center for the Economy and the Environment re. the Western Greenbrier plant, WV before the West Virginia DEP.
123. Oral Testimony (May 2007) on behalf of various Montana petitioners (Citizens Awareness Network (CAN), Women’s Voices for the Earth (WVE) and the Clark Fork Coalition (CFC)) re. the Thompson River Cogeneration plant before the Montana Board of Environmental Review.
124. Oral Testimony (October 2007) on behalf of the Sierra Club re. the Sevier Power Plant before the Utah Air Quality Board.
125. Oral Testimony (August 2008) on behalf of the Sierra Club and Clean Water re. Big Stone Unit II before the South Dakota Board of Minerals and the Environment.
126. Oral Testimony (February 2009) on behalf of the Sierra Club and the Southern Environmental Law Center re. Santee Cooper Pee Dee units before the South Carolina Board of Health and Environmental Control.
127. Oral Testimony (February 2009) on behalf of the Sierra Club and the Environmental Integrity Project re. NRG Limestone Unit 3 before the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) Administrative Law Judges.
128. Deposition (July 2009) on behalf of MTD Products, Inc., in the matter of *Alice Holmes and Vernon Holmes v. Home Depot USA, Inc., et al.*
129. Deposition (October 2009) on behalf of Environmental Defense and others, in the matter of challenges to the proposed Coletto Creek coal fired power plant project at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).
130. Deposition (October 2009) on behalf of Environmental Defense, in the matter of permit challenges to the proposed Las Brisas coal fired power plant project at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).
131. Deposition (October 2009) on behalf of the Sierra Club, in the matter of challenges to the proposed Medicine Bow Fuel and Power IGL plant in Cheyenne, Wyoming.
132. Deposition (October 2009) on behalf of Environmental Defense and others, in the matter of challenges to the proposed Tenaska coal fired power plant project at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH). (April 2010).

133. Oral Testimony (November 2009) on behalf of the Environmental Defense Fund re. the Las Brisas Energy Center before the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) Administrative Law Judges.
134. Deposition (December 2009) on behalf of Environmental Defense and others, in the matter of challenges to the proposed White Stallion Energy Center coal fired power plant project at the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).
135. Oral Testimony (February 2010) on behalf of the Environmental Defense Fund re. the White Stallion Energy Center before the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) Administrative Law Judges.
136. Deposition (June 2010) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Alabama Power Company NSR Case. *United States v. Alabama Power Company*, CV-01-HS-152-S (Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division).
137. Trial Testimony (September 2010) on behalf of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania – Dept. of Environmental Protection, State of Connecticut, State of New York, State of Maryland, and State of New Jersey (Plaintiffs) in connection with the Allegheny Energy NSR Case in US District Court in the Western District of Pennsylvania. *Plaintiffs v. Allegheny Energy Inc., et al.*, 2:05cv0885 (Western District of Pennsylvania).
138. Oral Direct and Rebuttal Testimony (September 2010) on behalf of Fall-Line Alliance for a Clean Environment and others in the matter of the PSD Air Permit for Plant Washington issued by Georgia DNR at the Office of State Administrative Hearing, State of Georgia (OSAH-BNR-AQ-1031707-98-WALKER).
139. Oral Testimony (September 2010) on behalf of the State of New Mexico Environment Department in the matter of Proposed Regulation 20.2.350 NMAC – *Greenhouse Gas Cap and Trade Provisions*, No. EIB 10-04 (R), to the State of New Mexico, Environmental Improvement Board.
140. Oral Testimony (October 2010) on behalf of the Environmental Defense Fund re. the Las Brisas Energy Center before the Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) Administrative Law Judges.
141. Oral Testimony (November 2010) regarding BART for PSCo Hayden, CSU Martin Drake units before the Colorado Air Quality Commission on behalf of the Coalition of Environmental Organizations.
142. Oral Testimony (December 2010) regarding BART for TriState Craig Units, CSU Nixon Unit, and PRPA Rawhide Unit) before the Colorado Air Quality Commission on behalf of the Coalition of Environmental Organizations.
143. Deposition (December 2010) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Louisiana Generating NSR Case. *United States v. Louisiana Generating, LLC*, 09-CV100-RET-CN (Middle District of Louisiana).
144. Deposition (February 2011 and January 2012) on behalf of Wild Earth Guardians in the matter of opacity exceedances and monitor downtime at the Public Service Company of Colorado (Xcel)’s Cherokee power plant. No. 09-cv-1862 (D. Colo.).
145. Oral Testimony (February 2011) to the Georgia Office of State Administrative Hearings (OSAH) in the matter of Minor Source HAPs status for the proposed Longleaf Energy Associates power plant (OSAH-BNR-AQ-1115157-60-HOWELLS) on behalf of the Friends of the Chattahoochee and the Sierra Club).
146. Deposition (August 2011) on behalf of the United States in *United States of America v. Cemex, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 09-cv-00019-MSK-MEH (District of Colorado).
147. Deposition (July 2011) and Oral Testimony at Hearing (February 2012) on behalf of the Plaintiffs MYTAPN in the matter of Microsoft-Yes, Toxic Air Pollution-No (MYTAPN) v. State of Washington, Department of Ecology and Microsoft Corporation Columbia Data Center to the Pollution Control Hearings Board, State of Washington, Matter No. PCHB No. 10-162.
148. Oral Testimony at Hearing (March 2012) on behalf of the United States in connection with the Louisiana Generating NSR Case. *United States v. Louisiana Generating, LLC*, 09-CV100-RET-CN (Middle District of Louisiana).
149. Oral Testimony at Hearing (April 2012) on behalf of the New Hampshire Sierra Club at the State of New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 10-261 – the 2010 Least Cost Integrated Resource

- Plan (LCIRP) submitted by the Public Service Company of New Hampshire (re. Merrimack Station Units 1 and 2).
150. Oral Testimony at Hearing (November 2012) on behalf of Clean Wisconsin in the matter of Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Construct and Place in Operation a New Multi-Pollutant Control Technology System (ReACT) for Unit 3 of the Weston Generating Station, before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, Docket No. 6690-CE-197.
  151. Deposition (March 2013) in the matter of various Environmental Petitioners v. North Carolina DENR/DAQ and Carolinas Cement Company, before the Office of Administrative Hearings, State of North Carolina.
  152. Deposition (August 2013) on behalf of the Sierra Club in connection with the Luminant Big Brown Case. *Sierra Club v. Energy Future Holdings Corporation and Luminant Generation Company LLC*, Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-00108-WSS (Western District of Texas, Waco Division).
  153. Deposition (August 2013) on behalf of the Sierra Club in connection with the Luminant Martin Lake Case. *Sierra Club v. Energy Future Holdings Corporation and Luminant Generation Company LLC*, Civil Action No. 5:10-cv-0156-MHS-CMC (Eastern District of Texas, Texarkana Division).
  154. Deposition (February 2014) on behalf of the United States in *United States of America v. Ameren Missouri*, Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-00077-RWS (Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division).
  155. Trial Testimony (February 2014) in the matter of *Environment Texas Citizen Lobby, Inc and Sierra Club v. ExxonMobil Corporation et al.*, Civil Action No. 4:10-cv-4969 (Southern District of Texas, Houston Division).
  156. Trial Testimony (February 2014) on behalf of the Sierra Club in connection with the Luminant Big Brown Case. *Sierra Club v. Energy Future Holdings Corporation and Luminant Generation Company LLC*, Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-00108-WSS (Western District of Texas, Waco Division).
  157. Deposition (June 2014) and Trial (August 2014) on behalf of ECM Biofilms in the matter of the *US Federal Trade Commission (FTC) v. ECM Biofilms* (FTC Docket #9358).
  158. Deposition (February 2015) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Sierra Club and Montana Environmental Information Center (Plaintiffs) v. PPL Montana LLC, Avista Corporation, Puget Sound Energy, Portland General Electric Company, Northwestern Corporation, and PacifiCorp (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. CV 13-32-BLG-DLC-JCL (US District Court for the District of Montana, Billings Division).
  159. Oral Testimony at Hearing (April 2015) on behalf of Niagara County, the Town of Lewiston, and the Villages of Lewiston and Youngstown in the matter of CWM Chemical Services, LLC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Permit Application Nos.: 9-2934-00022/00225, 9-2934-00022/00231, 9-2934-00022/00232, and 9-2934-00022/00249 (pending).
  160. Deposition (August 2015) on behalf of Plaintiff in the matter of *Conservation Law Foundation (Plaintiff) v. Broadrock Gas Services LLC, Rhode Island LFG GENCO LLC, and Rhode Island Resource Recovery Corporation (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-M-PAS (US District Court for the District of Rhode Island).
  161. Testimony at Hearing (August 2015) on behalf of the Sierra Club in the matter of *Amendments to 35 Illinois Administrative Code Parts 214, 217, and 225* before the Illinois Pollution Control Board, R15-21.
  162. Deposition (May 2015) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Northwest Environmental Defense Center et. al., (Plaintiffs) v. Cascade Kelly Holdings LLC, d/b/a Columbia Pacific Bio-Refinery, and Global Partners LP (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-01059-SI (US District Court for the District of Oregon, Portland Division).
  163. Trial Testimony (October 2015) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Northwest Environmental Defense Center et. al., (Plaintiffs) v. Cascade Kelly Holdings LLC, d/b/a Columbia Pacific Bio-Refinery, and Global Partners LP (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-01059-SI (US District Court for the District of Oregon, Portland Division).

164. Deposition (April 2016) on behalf of the Plaintiffs in *UNatural Resources Defense Council, Respiratory Health Association, and Sierra Club (Plaintiffs) v. Illinois Power Resources LLC and Illinois Power Resources Generation LLC (Defendants)*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01181 (Central District of Illinois, Peoria Division).
165. Trial Testimony at Hearing (July 2016) in the matter of Tesoro Savage LLC Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, Case No. 15-001 before the State of Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council.
166. Trial Testimony (December 2016) on behalf of the challengers in the matter of the Delaware Riverkeeper Network, Clean Air Council, et. al., vs. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and R. E. Gas Development LLC regarding the Geyer well site before the Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board.
167. Trial Testimony (July-August 2016) on behalf of the United States in *United States of America v. Ameren Missouri*, Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-00077-RWS (Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division).
168. Trial Testimony (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Huntley and Huntley Poseidon Well Pad Hearing on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.
169. Trial Testimony (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Apex energy Backus Well Pad Hearing on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.
170. Trial Testimony (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Apex energy Drakulic Well Pad Hearing on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.
171. Trial Testimony (January 2017) on the Environmental Impacts Analysis associated with the Apex energy Deutsch Well Pad Hearing on behalf citizens in the matter of the special exception use Zoning Hearing Board of Penn Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.
172. Deposition Testimony (July 2017) on behalf of Plaintiffs in the matter of *Casey Voight and Julie Voight v Coyote Creek Mining Company LLC (Defendant)* Civil Action No. 1:15-CV-00109 (US District Court for the District of North Dakota, Western Division).
173. Deposition Testimony (November 2017) on behalf of Defendant in the matter of *Oakland Bulk and Oversized Terminal (Plaintiff) v City of Oakland (Defendant,)* Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-07014-VC (US District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division).
174. Deposition Testimony (December 2017) on behalf of Plaintiff in the matter of *Wildearth Guardians (Plaintiff) v Colorado Springs Utility Board (Defendant)* Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00357-CMA-CBS (US District Court for the District of Colorado).
175. Deposition Testimony (January 2018) in the matter of National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA) v. State of Washington Department of Ecology and British Petroleum (BP) before the Washington Pollution Control Hearing Board, Case No. 17-055.
176. Trial Testimony (January 2018) on behalf of Defendant in the matter of *Oakland Bulk and Oversized Terminal (Plaintiff) v City of Oakland (Defendant,)* Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-07014-VC (US District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division).
177. Trial Testimony (April 2018) on behalf of the National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA) in the matter of NPCA v State of Washington, Department of Ecology and BP West Coast Products, LLC, PCHB No. 17-055 (Pollution Control Hearings Board for the State of Washington).



